Evaluation Criteria for Institutional Proposals

Rating (Scale: 5=highest & 1= lowest)

1. Do the PI and project team's qualifications and performance with funding agencies indicate the ability to secure and complete the project? (5 4 3 2 1)

2. Does the project team have a positive track record with the Division of Research in securing and managing grants? (5 4 3 2 1)

3. If the proposal requires institutional cost, such as cash or in-kind match, is the funding source clearly identified and realistically available? (5 4 3 2 1)

4. Is it sufficiently clear how the project will benefit FAMU? (5 4 3 2 1)

5. Are the goals and objectives of the project clearly stated and linked to the RFP? (5 4 3 2 1)

6. Rate the extent to which the project includes a planned collaborative effort. (5 4 3 2 1)

Comments: (Reviewers are encouraged to provide relevant comments in support of rating.)
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